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Throughout the years Hybrid Electric Vehicles (HEV) require an electric motor which has high power density and wide constant power operating region as well as low manufacturing cost. For these purposes, a new concept permanent magnet motor is designed and analyzed with the basic theories about Interior Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor (IPMSM).

This new motor has DC excitation coils and flux paths, which can increase the output torque and be controlled for field weakening operating. The analysis has been conducted by three-dimensional Finite Element Analysis. The results show the possibility of the new motor for HEV applications. The new motor has several advantages: low manufacturing cost, low inertia of the rotor, and the direct controllability of air-gap flux.
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CHAPTER 1

Introduction

1.1 Background

Throughout the years hybrid electric vehicles (HEVs) have proved themselves worthy to replace a conventional vehicle with an internal combustion engine (ICE). Recently, the commercial HEVs developed by Toyota and Honda have proven about 50% fuel saving in urban driving in comparison with an equivalent ICE vehicle [1]. This is great progress, but the fuel saving still cannot compensate for the extra cost of an HEV in initial purchase and maintenance. Thus, the price and reliability of the traction motor is one of the most critical issues in developing an HEV system.

Various types of electric motors have been investigated for use in an HEV system in the fields of output power, maximum speed, efficiency, manufacturing cost, and durability. Figure 1.1 shows the motor requirements of an HEV in the different vehicle running modes. The maximum motor torque is determined by acceleration at low speed and hill climbing capability of a vehicle, the maximum motor RPM by the maximum speed of a vehicle, and the constant power by vehicle acceleration from the base speed to the maximum speed of a vehicle. The shaded areas indicate the ranges frequently used for a vehicle; so, high efficiency is required. In a conventional ICE powered vehicle, the wide constant power is accomplished by a multi-gear transmission. When a vehicle is operated with start-go driving pattern, such as the urban cycle in Figure 1.1, the average
The operating efficiency of the traction system is very low [2]. Additionally, the gearbox
needs extra space in a vehicle and increases the total weight of the vehicle. Therefore, the
necessity of multi-gear transmission system is unfavorable for vehicles. However, an
electric motor powered vehicle can be operated efficiently in an urban area with a single-
gear transmission to meet the requirement of vehicle performance. Then, the structure of
the traction system will be significantly simplified. But the problem of an electric motor
lies in the high-speed region, since increasing motor speed while holding constant power
cannot be realized easily. Thus, recently the wider constant power region in the high-
speed range is the key point of the research about the traction motor for HEV.

Figure 1.1 Required characteristics of traction motor in a vehicle running mode
1.2 Comparison of Electric Motors

Until a decade ago, DC motor systems were popularly used for driving an EV (Electric Vehicle) or an HEV, because they could be used with direct current supplied from batteries without AC conversion. However, in reality DC motors cannot be attractive for EV or HEV systems anymore because of their low efficiency and frequent need of maintenance. Therefore, thanks to the rapid development of large scale integrated (LSI) circuits and powerful switching devices such as IGBT (insulated gated bipolar transistor), the Induction Motor (IM), Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor (PMSM)*, and Switched Reluctance Motor (SRM) have replaced the traction system of EV or HEV.

1.2.1 Induction Motor

The advancement of power semiconductors allows the IM to be used in the application where widely varying speed or precision control of speed is required. The torque control of an IM is achieved through PWM control of the current. To hold the current control capability beyond base speed with constant power, the IM should be operated in the field weakening region. However, the presence of breakdown torque of IM limits its extended constant power operation as shown Figure 1.2. Above a critical speed, $\omega_c$, the IM cannot sustain its constant power. Any attempt to operate the machine beyond this critical speed with maximum current will stall the IM. Typically, a properly designed IM can achieve field weakening range of 3~5 times its base speed. This

* Sometimes PMSM is also called BDCM (Brushless DC Motor, BLDC). However, in general PMSM has sinusoidal or quasi-sinusoidal distribution of flux in the air-gap and BDCM has rectangular distribution.
1.2.2 Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor

Since a PMSM has the magnetic field excited by permanent magnets, it has many advantages compared with an IM. The high energy permanent magnet, such as rare earth or samarium cobalt, enables the PMSM to be significantly smaller than the IM in size and weight by increasing available field strength. Correspondingly, The PMSM has outstanding capability in torque or power density. The PMSM also has better efficiency
and power factor because of the absence of a rotor winding and the small size of the rotor
[3]. Additionally, since the PMSM is efficient at low speed, the HEV using a PMSM is
attractive in the city mode in which the vehicle is required to frequently start and stop.

However, the PMSM has a problem with operating in the constant power region.
The presence of the permanent magnetic field limits its field weakening capability
because the air-gap magnetic field can only be weakened through production of a stator
field component that opposes the rotor field.

Recently, there is research about an additional field winding of PMSM* to control
the field current for increasing field weakening region, and the maximum speed with the
constant power is achieved up to 4 times of the base speed, such as conventional phase
advance [2]. But the low inductance value of PMSM still limits its constant power
operation by increasing the phase current rating [4]. Thus, the speed ratio is still not
enough to meet the vehicle requirement, and the complex rotor structure increases the
cost of the motor with the high price of permanent magnets. The high cost is a critical
drawback of PMSM.

1.2.3 Switched Reluctance Motor

Recently, an SRM has gained considerable attention as a candidate of electric
propulsion for EV and HEV. Its simple and rugged construction and simple stator
winding can reduce the manufacturing cost of the SRM significantly. Above all things,
the capability of extremely high speed operation make the SRM highly favorable for a

* It is called a PM hybrid motor.
vehicle traction application. Because there is no fixed magnet flux, the maximum speed with constant power is not as restricted by controller voltage as it is in PMSM.

However, the absence of excitation from a permanent magnet imposes the burden of excitation on the stator windings and the controller; thus the overall efficiency decreases with the increasing copper loss [5]. In addition, the clear disadvantages of the SRM are torque ripple and acoustic noise caused by its non-uniform air-gap structure [6]. Also, the torque density is much smaller than the PMSM [3].

1.3 Research Objective

The importance of high efficiency at low speed and high torque/power density make many manufacturing companies select a traction motor using permanent magnets. Especially, interior permanent magnet synchronous motor (IPMSM) or permanent magnet assisted reluctance synchronous motor (PM-RSM) appeal to the makers with developing various control strategies. Actually, these two types of motors have almost the same operating principal in using both permanent magnet generated torque and reluctance torque. The difference is that in PM-RSM the amount of magnet and the magnet flux linkage are small in comparison with the conventional IPMSM [7], but there is no clear boundary between two kinds of motor. Thus, in this paper IPMSM includes PM-RSM.

* Mostly Neodymium-Iron-Boron (NdFeB)
† Reluctance Synchronous Motor without permanent magnet shows similar behavior and characteristic with Switched Reluctance Motor as a traction application.
Many researchers have presented results that suggest the IPMSM or PM-RSM is a better solution for HEV than IM [1], and there is already a commercial HEV adopting this kind of motor*. However, the high price of high-energy permanent magnets and the complexity of the controller for field weakening are still major problems of IPMSM.

Therefore, the main objective of this research is to design a new concept motor, which is based on IPMSM for the application of HEV propulsion system with low price. To reduce the manufacturing cost of a high-performance PM machine, the motor must use less magnet material and be easily controllable in the constant power region.

For this purpose, the new concept motor uses a DC excitation coil, which can increase flux in the air-gap and also control the flux for field weakening; because DC current is easy to control, and the conductors (copper) are much cheaper than permanent magnet material.

The excitation coils are wound around radial direction of the motor; thus, the flux by DC excitation current has the axial direction, which comes into the rotor and combines with the PM flux. As an excitation flux path, the frame is used. The frame is attached to the stator and makes axial air-gap with the rotor to make closed flux path with the rotor which is rotating continuously.

Three-dimensional (3D) finite element analysis (FEA)† is used for this research to get the optimal design parameters of the motor.

---

* Toyota Prius
† It is also called finite element method (FEM).
1.4 Thesis Organization

This thesis is organized in the following manner:

• Chapter 2 organizes the equations of IPMSM for generating torque using steady-state phasor diagram and magnetic field energy, and a FEA computing procedure for torque is briefly explained in the latter part of chapter 2.

• The base concept of the novel machine in this research is introduced in chapter 3.

• Chapter 4 describes the design objective and process with FEA and shows the results from FEA simulations.

• For conclusion, chapter 5 briefly summarizes the research in this thesis and addresses future works.
CHAPTER 2

Analysis of Interior Permanent Magnet Synchronous Motor (IPMSM)

2.1 Background

As it is mentioned in chapter 1, the main characteristic of IPMSM is generating its output torque by both permanent magnet alignment and reluctance. IPMSM has the following useful properties when compared to traditional surface mounted PMSM [5]:

- Field weakening capability with high inductance
- Under-excited operation for most load conditions
- High resistance from demagnetization
- High temperature capability

There are several types of IPMSM, and each type has its own advantages and specific applications. Figure 2.1 shows some examples of IPMSM rotor configurations. If there are no magnets in each rotor configuration, the motor is to be a pure reluctance synchronous motor. Most IPMSM have some empty spaces, called flux barriers, inside the rotor for increasing its reluctance torque.
Figure 2.1 Various rotor configurations with PM

(a) Permanent Magnet

(b) Air (Flux Barrier)

(c) Permanent Magnet

(d) Permanent Magnet
Much research has been conducted to determine the PM portion in the flux barriers in the same rotor structure and concluded that more PM increases the torque and efficiency but decreases the constant power region [7], [8]. Also, the double layer configuration in Figure 2.1 (b) has a higher torque and wider efficiency operating range than the single layer [9], but it cannot avoid the increased PM cost. The arrangement of Figure 2.1 (c) is known as a ‘flux-concentrating’ design because the magnet pole area at the air-gap produces an air-gap flux density higher than that in the magnet [5].

In this chapter, the basic theory for generating torque of an IPMSM is analyzed in detail. And then, the relationship between output torque and energy is described with analyses of Maxwell equations. Finally, basic FEA concepts for computing machine torque are presented.

### 2.2 Torque Equation from Steady-State Phasor Diagram

The steady-state phasor diagram of IPMSM can be constructed in the same way like general PMSM. The open-circuit phase emf (electromotive force) is

\[ E_f = jE_q = j \omega \Psi_a \]  

(2.1)

where, \( \omega \) is synchronous speed (rotor speed) and \( \Psi_a \) is the flux linkage due to the fundamental component of d-axis flux produced by the permanent magnet. Although
there exists some d-axis emf associated with the leakage flux \([5]^{\square}\), in most cases it is negligible.

From the phasor diagram shown in Figure 2.2,

\[
V_d = -X_d I_q + RI_d \quad (2.2)
\]

\[
V_q = E_q + X_d I_d + RI_q \quad (2.3)
\]

The angles \(\delta\) and \(\gamma\) are defined as shown in Figure 2.2; then, the voltage and current in the motor is defined by

\[
V_d = -V \sin \delta, \quad V_q = V \cos \delta \quad (2.4)
\]

\[
I_d = \pm I \sin \gamma, \quad I_q = I \cos \gamma \quad (2.5)
\]

In a large capacity motor, the armature resistance, \(R\), is negligible, and from (2.2) and (2.3)†,

\[
I_d = \frac{V_q - E_q}{X_d} \quad (2.6)
\]

\[
I_q = -\frac{V_d}{X_q} \quad (2.7)
\]

\(^*\) actually, \(E_f = E_d + jE_q = \omega \Psi_{q1} + j \omega \Psi_{d1}\)

\(^\dagger\) with the resistance

\[
I_d = \frac{RV_q + X_q \left( V_q - E_q \right)}{R^2 + X_d X_q}, \quad I_q = \frac{R \left( V_q - E_q \right) - X_d V_d}{R^2 + X_d X_q}
\]
Figure 2.2 Phasor diagram for IPMSM:
(a) magnetizing armature current in the d-axis.
(b) demagnetizing armature current in the d-axis.

The complex power per phase per pole-pair into the motor is

\[
\tilde{S} = \bar{V} \cdot \bar{I}^* \\
= (V_d + jV_q)(I_d - jI_q) \\
= V_d I_d + V_q I_q + j(V_q I_d - V_d I_q) \\
= P + jQ
\]  

Substitute (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7) into (2.8); the real power is
\[ P = V_d I_d + V_q I_q \]
\[ = V_d \frac{V_q - E_q}{X_d} - V_q \frac{V_d}{X_q} \]
\[ = \frac{V_d (-E_q)}{X_d} + \left( \frac{X_q - X_d}{X_d X_q} \right) V_d V_q \]
\[ = \frac{V_i E_q}{X_d} \sin \delta + \left( \frac{X_d - X_q}{2X_d X_q} \right) V_i^2 \sin(2\delta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

where,

\[ V_i = \sqrt{V_d^2 + V_q^2} \]

Assume that there is no loss, then the total output torque for three phases with \( p \) pole-pairs is

\[ T = \frac{3p}{\omega} \frac{P}{\omega} = \frac{3p}{\omega} \left[ \frac{V_i E_q}{X_d} \sin \delta + \left( \frac{X_d - X_q}{2X_d X_q} \right) V_i^2 \sin(2\delta) \right] \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

In a pure reluctance synchronous motor,

\[ E_q = 0 \]

\[ T = \frac{3p}{\omega} \frac{P}{\omega} = \frac{3p}{\omega} \left( \frac{X_d - X_q}{2X_d X_q} \right) V_i^2 \sin(2\delta) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

The first term of (2.10) is the PM generated torque, and the second term is the reluctance torque which is proportional to the difference in stator inductance, \( L_d - L_q \). For a
general PMSM, \( L_d \) is almost the same as \( L_q \), thus the reluctance torque term is canceled. In IPMSM, \( L_d \) is lower than \( L_q \) because the magnet flux flowing along the d-axis has to cross through the magnet cavities in addition to the rotor air-gap, while the magnet flux of the q-axis only crosses the air-gap [5].

Equation (2.10) shows that the period of the reluctance torque is one half of that of the PM generated torque. Figure 2.3 illustrates that the IPMSM can achieve higher torque than a surface mounted PMSM which does not have any reluctance torque component. However, the appearance of the reluctance torque does not mean that IPMSM can have higher power density than surface mounted PMSM because the magnet flux linkage in IPMSM is not the same as that in the surface mounted PMSM with the same magnet volume.

Equation (2.12) is another form of the torque equation (2.10), and (2.13) suggested by Phil Mellor shows that the total torque of an IPMSM is increased with the saliency of the rotor [10].

\[
T = \frac{3P}{2} \left[ \Psi_a I_q + (L_d - L_q)L_d I_q \right] \tag{2.12}
\]

\[
\frac{T}{T_{\text{base}}} = \cos \delta - \frac{\xi - 1}{2} \frac{I_s}{I_{\text{base}}} \sin(2\delta) \tag{2.13}
\]

where, \( T_{\text{base}} \) = PM generated torque at \( I_{\text{base}} \)

\( \xi = \frac{L_q}{L_d} \) = saliency ratio

\( I_s \) = Input current
Figure 2.3 Torque-angle characteristic of IPMSM
The $\xi$ term is called the saliency factor and generally cannot be more than 3 [10]. Figure 2.3 also indicates the optimum commutation angle may have been advanced from 90° (the optimum commutation angle for PMSM).

### 2.3 Torque Equation from Magnetic Field Energy

For electric machinery, the torque acting on the rotor can be found by differentiating the total system energy with respect to rotor position. Theoretically, this energy calculation can be simplified if the inductance $L(\theta)$ of the machine is known as a function of rotor angle $\theta$. The energy stored in the inductor is well known as shown following equation [11]:

$$ W_m = \frac{1}{2} LI^2 $$

(2.14)

The relationship between flux linkage and inductance makes it possible to obtain inductance from the flux density or magnetic field.

$$ \lambda = N\Phi = N\int_B \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = LI $$

(2.15)

where $N$ is the number of turns in the excitation coils circling the area $s$ of the stator; and assuming that the flux ($\Phi$) linked in the stator approximately equals that at the rotor poles.
To find the torque on the rotor in Figure 2.4, the magnetic fields must first be found. The high permeability of the core confines the magnetic field produced by the \( N \)-turn coil and guides the flux to the pole faces where the small air gaps between core and PM rotor to close on itself to make the loop. From Gauss’ law in Maxwell’s equation, the flux density, \( B \), must be continuous across the two air-gaps [11].

Equation (2.16) means that the flux density is the same everywhere in the loop. In other words,

\[
\nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \tag{2.16}
\]

\[
\vec{B}_{core} = \vec{B}_{airgap} = \vec{B}_{rotor} \tag{2.17}
\]

Figure 2.4 A simple rotating machine
Since the magnetic field intensity \( H \), is defined as (2.18) and the permeability, \( \mu \), of the core and rotor have very high permeability (for ideal case, \( \mu \) is assumed as infinity in soft magnetic materials [12]), the total magnetic field intensity concentrated on the two air-gaps is

\[
H = \frac{B}{\mu_o \mu_r} = \frac{B}{\mu}
\]  

(2.18)

where,

\( \mu_o = \) permeability of free space (= 4\( \pi \times 10^{-7} \))

\( \mu_r = \) relative permeability

thus,

\[
H_{\text{stator}} = \frac{\mu_o}{\mu} H_g < H_g
\]

\[
\therefore \sum H = 2 H_g
\]

(2.19)

Therefore, using Ampere’s law (2.20), the magnetic field intensity of the air-gap, \( H_g \), can be represented by input current, \( I \), as

\[
\int_{c} \overrightarrow{H} \cdot d\overrightarrow{l} = \int_{s} \overrightarrow{J} \cdot d\overrightarrow{s} = NI
\]

(2.20)

\[
NI = H_{\text{core}} l_{\text{core}} + H_{\text{rotor}} l_{\text{rotor}} + 2H_g l_g \equiv 2H_g l_g
\]

\[
\rightarrow H_g = \frac{NI}{2l_g}
\]

(2.21)

* It is also called just ‘magnetic field’
where $l_g$ is the length of the air-gap and the depth of air-gap, $D$, should be sufficiently larger than $l_g$. Next relate equation (2.21) with the flux linkage, $\lambda$,

$$\lambda = N\Phi = N\int_{s}B \cdot d\vec{s} = NB_g A_g = N\mu_o H_g A_g$$

$$= \frac{\mu_o N^2 I A_g}{2l_g}$$

(2.22)

using (2.14) and (2.15),

$$L = \frac{\lambda}{I} = \frac{\mu_o N^2 A_g}{2l_g}$$

(2.23)

$$W_m = \frac{LI^2}{2} = \frac{\lambda^2 l_g}{2L} = \frac{\lambda^2 l_g}{\mu_o N^2 A_g} = \frac{\lambda^2 l_g}{\mu_o N^2 RD\theta}$$

(2.24)

Faraday’s law in Maxwell’s Equations shows the flux linkage is constant with time when the coil is short circuited as follows:

$$\oint_c \epsilon \cdot d\vec{l} = -\frac{d}{dt} \int_{s}B \cdot d\vec{s} = -\frac{1}{N} \frac{d\lambda}{dt} = 0$$

(2.25)

Equation (2.25) means that the flux linkage is time-constant. Under constant flux linkage, the torque equation is given by [13]:

$$T = -\frac{\partial W_m(\lambda, \theta)}{\partial \theta}$$

(2.26)

* for constant current condition,
therefore,

\[ T = -\frac{\partial W_m}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\lambda^2 l_g}{\mu_o N^2 R D \theta^2} \]  

(2.27)

Using (2.22) to substitute for \( \lambda \),

\[ T = \frac{\mu_o N^2 I^2 RD}{4l_g} \]  

(2.28)

In this section we found that the torque of electric machine can be obtained from its magnetic field energy. In other words, if we can compute the energy of an electric machine, we can also calculate the machine torque and winding inductance. Based on this energy-torque relationship, we can calculate a machine torque using Finite Element Analysis (FEA).

### 2.4 Finite Element Analysis – Maxwell 3D

Obtaining the correct value of flux density and magnetic field remains an important issue for designing an electric machine in verifying the magnetic flux loop and checking the saturation of flux density in each part of the machine. For this purpose, FEA is used extensively for the design and performance prediction of all types of electric machines. It is a numerical technique based on the determination of the distribution of the
electric or magnetic fields inside the machine structure, by means of the solution of Maxwell’s equations. FEA could answer the value of torque or force, winding inductances, field distributions, back-emf waveforms, demagnetization withstand of magnets, etc [15].

To present electric or magnetic fields over a large, irregularly shaped region, each region of the modeled machine is divided into many hexahedral or tetrahedral elements.* The collection of elements is referred to as the finite element mesh.

In FEA computation, the value of a vector field at a location within an element is interpolated from its nodal value of the field. For magnetic field calculation, Maxwell 3D solver divides the H-field into a homogeneous and a particular solution. The solver stores a scalar potential at each node for the homogeneous solution of magnetic field density, $H$, and stores the components of $H$ that are tangential to the element edges [14]. Thus, to obtain a precise description of the field, each element of the model is small enough for the field to be adequately interpolated from the nodal values.

However, there is a trade-off between the number of the elements and the amount of computing resources required for the computation. The accuracy of the solution also depends on how small each of the individual elements is. Thus, a user should choose an adequate mesh size for fitting his computer capability.

The computing procedure is as follows. First, the current density, $J$, is calculated by input current condition. Using Ohm’s law (2.29), the $J$ of all elements in the model is obtained and stored.

* Maxwell 3D uses tetrahedral elements.
\[ \vec{J} = \sigma \vec{E} = -\sigma \nabla V \quad (2.29) \]

where,
- \( E \) is the electric field
- \( \sigma \) is the conductivity of the material
- \( V \) is the electric potential

Under steady state conditions, the charge density, \( \rho \), in any region of the model does not change with time [14]. That is

\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{J} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = 0 \quad (2.30) \]

Substituting (2.29) into (2.30):

\[ \nabla \cdot (\sigma \nabla V) = 0 \quad (2.31) \]

The differential equation (2.31) is solved to get the \( J \) for all elements. After computing the current density, the FEA solver computes the magnetic field using Ampere’s law and Maxwell’s equation describing the continuity of flux,

\[ \nabla \times \vec{H} = \vec{J} \quad (2.32) \]
\[ \nabla \cdot \vec{B} = 0 \quad (2.33) \]

Next, the energy is calculated. In a linear material, the energy, \( W \), is the same as its coenergy, \( W_c \), as
\[ W = \int_0^1 (d\vec{B}) \cdot \vec{H} dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \vec{B} \cdot \vec{H} dv \quad (2.34) \]

\[ W_c = \int_0^1 \vec{B} \cdot (d\vec{H}) dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \vec{B} \cdot \vec{H} dv = W \quad (2.35) \]

However, in a nonlinear material, the coenergy is different from its energy like shown in Figure 2.5. Energy and coenergy in the figure indicate the area above and below the B-H curve.

If the motion of a material has occurred under constant current conditions (steady-state), the mechanical work done can be represented by increasing its coenergy [13]. FEA solver is computing \( H \) under constant input current condition, thus, it uses coenergy differentiation over a given angle instead of energy differentiation for torque calculation with nonlinear data of the materials.

![Figure 2.5 Comparing B-H curve between linear and nonlinear material](image-url)

(a) Linear material
- \( \mu \) is constant
- energy is equal to coenergy

(b) Nonlinear material
- \( \mu \) is not constant
- energy is less than coenergy
\[ T = \frac{dW_c}{d\theta} \quad (2.36) \]

Computing inductance of conductors from the flux linkage is an additional function of FEA, and these calculated inductance values can be used for dynamic analysis of the designed machine combined with its controller circuits.

### 2.5 Summary

This chapter describes the basic theories analyzing the generating torque in IPMSM for steady-state; using phasor diagrams. Equations (2.10) and (2.12) present that IPMSM has two torque components: permanent magnet torque and reluctance torque. By the existence of reluctance torque, the torque of IPMSM is not linearly proportional to the stator phase current amplitude.

Therefore, FEA is an effective method to predict the value of output torque of IPMSM. This chapter introduces how the energy of a machine can convert to its output torque; and then shows the basic theories about FEA for calculation of machine torque.
CHAPTER 3

FEA Simulation of the Concept of a New IPMSM

3.1 Introduction

The basic idea is simple; use DC excitation current to control air-gap flux. Conventional electric machines are constructed from the following parts: a rotor assembly, laminated stator material, phase current-carrying conductors, and a physical structure to support the entire machine. When a current-carrying conductor is placed in a magnetic field from the rotor, the conductor experiences a mechanical force or torque. This force or torque is directly proportional to the intensity of the magnetic field. Thus, generating a magnetic field from permanent magnets enables a machine to have high power density and efficiency. However, the fixed magnetic field limits its field weakening capability for wide constant power operation.

If a controllable magnetic field can be added to the field of a permanent magnet, we can vary the field intensity while still maintaining the advantages of PM machines. DC current is a good source for this purpose, because it is easily increased and decreased. Moreover, the flux generated by DC current is linearly proportional to the amount of the current flow until it reaches the saturation region of the flux-carrying material.

FEA is a useful method to check this idea. A simple model is constructed for trial simulation, and the results are used for the actual design.
3.2 Modeling

Figure 3.1 shows the basic model which needs to increase air gap flux. The permanent magnet used in this model is a ferrite magnet of which the residual flux density, $B_r$, is 0.4 T and the relative permeability, $\mu_r$, is 0.25. The steel is a kind of cast steel of which the $\mu_r$ is about 900 in the linear region.

The flux from the left side of the PM travels through the left upper core, air gap, top core, air gap again, right upper core, and then returns to the right side of PM. After FEA simulation, the calculated air-gap flux density of this simple model is about 0.28 T (Figure 3.2). The object is to increase this air-gap flux density by DC excitation current.
To use excitation current, an additional flux path is needed. For this purpose, two side cores are attached to the original model as shown in Figure 3.3. Each side core is directly contacted with half of the top core and makes another air-gap (side air-gap) with an upper core on both sides. The reason to make the side air-gap between side core and upper core is that the upper cores are considered as parts of rotor assembly for the rotating machine. Two coils are wound on each side core to carry DC excitation current.

The direction of the flux from excitation current is perpendicular to that from PM, and the two fluxes will be combined in the main air-gap between the upper core and the top core. Actually, there are several methods to attach a side core, but the arrangement in
Figure 3.3 Simulation model with side cores and wound coils
Figure 3.3 is the best position from the results of FEA simulations about various configurations.

3.3 Numerical Analysis

Assume that the excited flux from each side core flows through just one half of the top core with the x-axis direction in Figure 3.3. Then, the new flux path for excitation current can be simplified as Figure 3.4. The dimensions of the simulation model are indicated in Figure 3.4. The depth of each part is 40 mm for side core, 50 mm for top core, and 45 mm for upper core. Suppose that the flux flows only at the center of each core and air-gap, then the path can be divided with 7 parts: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, g1, and g2.

For each part of the path, the length and cross-sectional area are

\[ l_1 = 144 \text{ mm} \]
\[ l_2 = 50 \text{ mm} \]
\[ l_3 = 30 \text{ mm} \]
\[ l_4 = 10 \text{ mm} \]
\[ l_5 = 50 \text{ mm} \]
\[ l_{g1} = l_{g2} = 2 \text{ mm} \]

* For this part, assume that the flux flows in only the top portion of the top core.
Figure 3.4 Simplified model for excited flux path

\[ A_1 = 20 \times 40 = 800 \text{ mm}^2 \]
\[ A_2 = 20 \times 50 = 1000 \text{ mm}^2 \]
\[ A_3 = 100 \times 50 = 5000 \text{ mm}^2 \]
\[ A_4 = 100 \times 45 = 4500 \text{ mm}^2 \]
\[ A_{g1} = 100 \times 50 = 5000 \text{ mm}^2 \]
\[ A_{g2} = 20 \times 45 = 900 \text{ mm}^2 \]

Since the reluctance of the magnetic path is defined as

\[ R = \frac{l}{\mu_0 \mu_r A} \] (3.1)
and the relative permeability of the core is 900, the total reluctance is

\[ R_1 = \frac{144 \times 10^{-3}}{4\pi \times 10^{-7} \times 900 \times 800 \times 10^{-6}} = 1.59 \times 10^5 \] [At/Wb]

\[ R_2 = 0.442 \times 10^5 \] [At/Wb]

\[ R_3 = 0.052 \times 10^5 \] [At/Wb]

\[ R_4 = 0.020 \times 10^5 \] [At/Wb]

\[ R_5 = 0.491 \times 10^5 \] [At/Wb]

\[ R_{g1} = 3.18 \times 10^5 \] [At/Wb]

\[ R_{g2} = 17.68 \times 10^5 \] [At/Wb]

\[ R_{total} = \sum R = 23.46 \times 10^5 \] [At/Wb]

If the input current is 1000 At, then the flux and main air-gap flux density are

\[ \Phi = \frac{NI}{R} = \frac{1000}{23.46 \times 10^5} = 4.26 \times 10^{-4} \] [Wb]

\[ B_g = \frac{\Phi}{A_g} = \frac{4 \times 10^{-4}}{500 \times 10^{-6}} = 0.09 \] [T]

Therefore, we can expect that the main air-gap flux density reaches about 0.37 T with the PM.
3.4 Simulation Results

FEA simulations have been conducted under four different input current conditions: 1000 At, 500 At, 0 At, and -500 At. The negative value means that the current flows the reverse way and the direction of excited flux also changes.

The calculated values of the main air-gap flux density are shown in Figure 3.5. The value at 1000 At is about 0.35 T and is a little lower than the expected value, 0.37 T. The reason is that the additional flux path, side core, increases the total reluctance, and then decreases the flux from the PM in the air-gap. The simulation result also shows that the flux density is about 0.26 T with side core and no current, and this value is lower by about 0.02 T than the result without side core. Considering this situation, the main air-gap flux density increases about 0.09 T. This result totally agrees with the numerical analysis.

Additionally, Figure 3.5 shows that the main air-gap flux density could be greatly reduced by changing the DC current direction. Comparing Figure 3.6 (a) and (b), the flux in the side core changes its direction and the air-gap flux is reduced. The arrows in the air-gap hold their direction but the size of the arrows is shrunk.

This chapter validates the effectiveness of the design concept for a new IPMSM. As a consequence of the results of the simulations in this chapter, DC excitation current can be used for increasing and controlling air-gap flux of the electric machine. However, constructing an adequate flux path for the excited flux is a difficult task in the actual machine design. An inadequate flux path can increase the total reluctance of PM and the leakage flux of the machine.
Figure 3.5 Simulation results of air-gap flux density for different excitation conditions
(a) Excitation current source is 1000 At

(b) Excitation current source -500 At

Positive air-gap B vector on each cut-plane

Figure 3.6 $B$ vector on three cut-planes in the simulation model
CHAPTER 4

Design a New Concept Motor

4.1 Design Procedure

As mentioned in the previous chapter, the objective of this research is to design an electric machine which has high torque density and has controllable air-gap flux directly for the application of HEV systems. For this purpose, the design procedure is as follows:

1) analysis of pre-developed machine by FEA
   - without DC excitation parts
   - calculating output torque and air-gap flux density
2) analysis of draft-design machine by FEA
   - attaching DC excitation parts
   - checking flux saturation and leakage parts
3) fixing design parameters of the new machine by FEA
   - reforming flux saturation and leakage parts
   - comparing output torque and air-gap flux density with the results of the pre-developed machine
4.2 Pre-developed Motor

4.2.1 Geometries and materials of pre-developed motor

Figure 4.1 shows a quarter part of a pre-developed motor which has been designed at National Transportation Research Center of Oak Ridge National Laboratory. The dimensions of the stator and rotor are given in Table 4.1. This IPMSM is a three-phase and eight-pole (four-pole pair) machine which means that the number of stator slots per pole per phase is 2. The winding pattern is a single-layer winding with 9 turns and the winding span is full-pitch as shown in Figure 4.1.

The permanent magnet material used in this motor is ferrite of which remanence flux density ($B_r$) is 0.4 T, coercive field ($H_c$) is 1273240 A/m, and relative permeability ($\mu_r$) is 0.25. The location of the PM in the rotor is indicated in Figure 4.2.

Laminated silicon steel is used for stator and rotor core to reduce hysteresis and eddy current loss, and the shaft is made of mild-steel. These two materials have a non-linear magnetic characteristic, which is shown in Figure 4.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameters</th>
<th>value</th>
<th>parameters</th>
<th>value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of stator slots</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Air-gap length</td>
<td>0.029 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer stator diameter</td>
<td>10.6 in</td>
<td>Outer rotor diameter</td>
<td>6.317 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner stator diameter</td>
<td>6.375 in</td>
<td>Inner rotor diameter</td>
<td>3.3125 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator tooth width</td>
<td>0.349 in</td>
<td>Rotor rib thickness</td>
<td>0.05 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slot opening width</td>
<td>0.076 in</td>
<td>Rotor axial length</td>
<td>2.75 in</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator axial length</td>
<td>2.5 in</td>
<td>PM thickness</td>
<td>0.25 in</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.1 A quarter model of pre-developed motor for FEA
Figure 4.2 The location of PMs in the rotor
Figure 4.3 B-H curves for non-linear materials

(a) Silicon steel

(b) Mild steel
### 4.2.2 Simulation results of pre-developed motor

The no-load simulation is implemented to check the distribution of the air-gap flux. Figure 4.4 is a flux density curve for no-load condition along the line which places on the middle of the air-gap at the center of the rotor in an axial direction. In the figure, it is observed that the slot openings affect the flux density distribution and the flux wave is well balanced between north (positive) and south (negative) poles. The average value of the magnitude of the flux density is 0.1826 T but the effective value coming through the stator teeth is about 0.3 T as shown in Figure 4.4.

![Figure 4.4 Air-gap flux density distribution of pre-developed motor](image-url)
Figure 4.5 shows the current phase angle versus output torque characteristic at which the maximum phase current is 200 A with a 3.75° phase angle step. It is obvious that the torque is composed of the magnet torque and the reluctance torque as shown in Figure 2.3. The maximum torque is 225.15 Nm at 131.25° of phase angle, which means that the reluctance torque is very large. If the reluctance torque is significantly larger than the magnet torque, the maximum torque will be placed at 135°. The pure magnet torque is 117.45 Nm at 90°. With high salient ratio (Lq/Ld >3), the output torque of IPMSM is composed of a larger portion of reluctance torque than magnet torque [16].

![FEM Simulation Results](Image)

Figure 4.5 Output torque versus current phase angle for pre-developed motor
4.3 Embodiment of the Concept

4.3.1 Side-poles and side-magnets

To make a flux path for the excitation current, side-poles are used. These side-poles are attached to the rotor assembly as shown in Figure 4.6. In the figure, the right side-pole is arranged to meet the north pole of the PM (N-position), and the left side-poles are placed to face the south pole of the PM (S-position). Since the side-poles are made with mild steel and clamped by non-magnetic material (aluminum), the axial-direction flux can come into or out from the rotor only through the side-poles.

A consequence of FEA has revealed that much leakage flux flows into the rotor by clamping. To prevent this leakage flux, permanent magnets are used. These magnets are called side-magnets and placed between side-poles at S-position for right side and at N-position for left side of the rotor as shown in Figure 4.7. At S-position, they block the flux from flowing into the rotor through the side-poles because the permanent magnets are magnetized to have the direction from left to right. Figure 4.8 and Figure 4.9 clearly shows that the leakage flux is significantly reduced and more flux comes into the rotor through the side-poles. Correspondingly, the air-gap flux is also increased.

The excitation flux path is indicated in Figure 4.10. The flux generated by DC excitation current will flow through the frame, flux collector, side air-gap, side-pole, rotor core, air-gap, and stator. At N-position, the side-poles will attract the axial flux, and then will send the flux into the rotor. As a result, the air-gap flux will be increased. In contrast, left side-poles will do the reverse role at S-position. The details will be explained in the latter part of this section.
Figure 4.6 Configuration of side-poles

(a) 

(b) left view of rotor assembly  
(Two side- poles place at S-position) 

(c) right view of rotor assembly  
(One side-pole places at N-position)
Figure 4.7 Configuration of side-poles and side-magnets

(a) left view of rotor assembly
(b) left view of rotor assembly
(One side-pole places at N-position)
(c) right view of rotor assembly
(Two side-poles place at S-position)
Figure 4.8 Flux density vector distributions around side-pole at centered N-position

(a) with side-pole only

(b) with side-pole and side-magnet
Figure 4.9 Magnitude of the flux density on the side-pole and the clamping at centered N-position.

(a) with side-pole only

(b) with side-pole and side-magnet
Figure 4.10 Axial flux path of the motor
4.3.2 Excitation flux paths

Figure 4.10 shows how the excitation flux flows inside the motor. Figure 4.10 (a) is the axial cut plane at the N-position, and (b) is the plane at the S-position. For N-position, the excitation flux from the right side in the Figure 4.10 (a) combines with the main PM flux in the air-gap, whereas the excitation flux from left side changes its way to the radial direction along the stator and combines at the flux collector. In the same way, the excitation flux makes its path for S-position as shown in Figure 4.10 (b). There should also be some penetrating flux which flows along the outer surface of the stator and shaft.

Figure 4.11 and Figure 4.12 are the simulation results showing that the excitation flux flows along the same way as the concept in Figure 4.10. On the left side in Figure 4.11 and the right side of Figure 4.12, the flux density vectors make an independent open path separated from the main closed path. Therefore, the flux on the open path should go out and come in from the radial direction.

4.3.3 Excitation coil resistance

If the excitation coils absorb much real power, the operating temperature will be significantly increased, and the efficiency of the motor will be also decreased. Therefore, it is necessary to ensure the low resistance of the excitation coils. Resistance in general is given by the following expression

\[ R = \frac{\rho \cdot l_c}{A_c} \]  (4.1)
Figure 4.11 Flux density vector distributions on the axial cut plane at N-position

Figure 4.12 Flux density vector distributions on the axial cut plane at S-position
where \( l_c \) is the conductor length, \( A_c \) is the cross sectional area of the conductor, and \( \rho \) is the conductor resistivity. For most conductors, including copper, resistivity is a function of temperature that can be linearly approximated as

\[
\rho(T_2) = \rho(T_1)\left[1 + \beta(T_2 - T_1)\right]
\]

(4.2)

where \( \rho(T) \) is the resistivity at a temperature \( T \) and \( \beta \) is the temperature coefficient of resistivity. For annealed copper commonly used in motor windings, \( \rho(20^\circ C) = 1.7241 \times 10^{-8} \Omega m \), and \( \beta = 4.3 \times 10^{-3} \, ^\circ C^{-1} \) [17]. Suppose that the excitation coil temperature increases up to 100 ^\circ C, then the resistivity of the excitation coils is

\[
\rho(100^\circ C) = \rho(20^\circ C)\left[1 + \beta(100^\circ C - 20^\circ C)\right]
\]

\[
= 1.7241 \times 10^{-8} \left[1 + 4.3 \times 10^{-3}(100 - 20)\right]
\]

\[
= 2.3172 \times 10^{-8} \, [\Omega m]
\]

For the space of the excitation current, suppose that the axial height of each frame is increased 0.5 inches, then the additional space, \( A_{space} \)

\[
A_{space} = \frac{D_{s, out} - D_{s, in}}{2} \times 0.5 = \frac{10.6 - 6.375}{2} \times 0.5 = 1.056 \, [\text{in}^2]
\]

where \( D_{s, out} \) and \( D_{s, in} \) are stator outer diameter and inner diameter respectively. With the coil packing factor 0.5, the total space allowed for the excitation coils is

\[
A_{exc} = 0.5A_{space} = 0.528 \, [\text{in}^2] \approx 340.6 \, [\text{mm}^2]
\]
The above result means that the excitation coils can be composed of 1mm² coils with 300 turns. The length of excitation coils can be calculated with the average value of the outer and inner stator diameters.

\[ l_{\text{exc}} = \pi (D_{s,\text{out}} - D_{s,\text{in}}) \times 300 = \pi (10.6 + 6.375) \times 300 = 15998.6 \text{ [in]} \]
\[ \approx 406.4 \text{ [m]} \]

thus, the resistance value of the excitation coils is

\[ R_{\text{exc}} = \frac{\rho (100^\circ C) \cdot l_{\text{exc}}}{A_{\text{exc}}} = \frac{2.3172 \times 10^{-8} \times 406.4}{1 \times 10^{-6}} = 9.417 \text{ [\Omega]} \]

When the maximum excitation current is 10 A, the power loss from both sides of the excitation coils is

\[ P_{\text{loss,exc}} = 2 \times I_{\text{DC}}^2 \cdot R_{\text{exc}} = 2 \times 10^2 \cdot 9.417 = 1883 \text{ [W]} \]

For a high power motor (over 50 kW), the power loss is less than 4% of its output power. Considering that the motor needs not to be always operating at the maximum output and the output power can be controlled by the excitation current, the power loss is not severe.
4.4 Simulation Results of Final Model

After conducting several simulations, the model is modified by eliminating the saturation part of the machine. Also, the inner diameters of side-poles, side-magnets, and flux-collector are decreased to reduce the leakage flux in the axial direction.

4.4.1 Air-gap flux

To check the relationship between the excitation current and air-gap flux, FEA simulations have been conducted under several different excitation current values: 3000 At, 2000 At, 1000 At, 0 At, and -1500 At. The simulation results are shown in Figure 4.13. Under positive excitation current, there is a little unbalance between positive and negative main curves. It may be due to the leakage flux that penetrates the rotor and stator in the axial direction.

Comparing Figure 4.4, the air-gap flux density significantly increases when the excitation current is 3000 At (from 0.1826 T to 0.4798 T in average value). Additionally, Figure 4.13 shows that the air-gap flux density is reduced by decreasing the excitation current value without changing its own shape.

When the negative excitation current is less than -1500 At, the magnitude of the flux density is almost the same as when -1500 At, but it loses its own shape. Considering that the fundamental component of the air-gap flux is mainly related with the motor performance, it is meaningless to compare between the values when less than -1500 At and others.
Figure 4.13 Simulation results of air-gap flux density for different excitation values
With -1500 At of excitation current, the air-gap flux can be weakened by about 6.5% of the maximum value. In other words, the maximum speed of the motor can be 15 times its base speed by just controlling its dc excitation current, if the entire field crossing the phase conducts is dependent on this air-gap flux.

Figure 4.14 is the flux density vector distributions in the stator and rotor at no phase current with excitation current of 3000 At, and Figure 4.15 is the magnitude of flux density in the same condition with Figure 4.14.

Figure 4.16 shows the flux density vectors in the stator and rotor at the maximum torque position (123.75°) under the maximum phase current of 200 A and excitation current of 3000 At. The corresponding magnitude of flux density distribution is shown in Figure 4.17. Both figures (Figure 4.16 and Figure 4.17) present that the flux lines are well balanced and there is no saturation part in the stator and rotor except rotor ribs. (Stator and rotor is saturated at about 1.8 T as indicated in Figure 4.3.)

Although the flux flowing through the rotor ribs is also a leakage flux which remains inside rotor without crossing the air-gap, the ribs are necessary for mechanical reason: to maintain laminated rotor core shape. In normal condition, the ribs cannot avoid to be saturated [18].

Figure 4.18 is the overall magnitude of the flux density with the maximum phase current of 200 A and excitation current of 3000 At. The circumferential area of side-poles is saturated as shown in the figure. That means the excitation flux is concentrated at the side-pole from the frame which is the main path of the excitation flux.
Figure 4.14 Flux density vector distributions at no phase current ($I_{exc}=3000$At)

Figure 4.15 Magnitude of flux density at no phase current ($I_{exc}=3000$At)
Figure 4.16 Flux density vector distributions at maximum torque position
\((I_{ph,max}= 200A, I_{exc}=3000At)\)

Figure 4.17 Magnitude of flux density at maximum torque position
\((I_{ph,max}= 200A, I_{exc}=3000At)\)
Figure 4.18 Overall magnitude of flux density at maximum torque position
\((I_{ph,\text{max}} = 200\text{A}, I_{exc}=3000\text{At})\)
4.4.2 Output torque

Figure 4.19 shows the current phase angle versus output torque characteristic at which the maximum phase current is 200 A and the excitation current is 3000 A. In the figure, the results are compared with those of the pre-developed motor before attaching the excitation magnetic circuit.

The maximum torque is increased from 225.15 Nm to 283.06 Nm (25.7 % increase). Since the excitation current increases the air-gap flux, the magnet torque is also increased; and then, the maximum torque position is shifted slightly from 131.25° to 116.25°. Table 4.2 presents the output torque results along the various excitation current values; Figure 4.20 is the graph of Table 4.2.

![Figure 4.19 Comparison of output torque between pre-developed and final model](image-url)
Table 4.2 Calculated output torque under various excitation current values [Nm]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase Angle</th>
<th>3000 At</th>
<th>2000 At</th>
<th>1000 At</th>
<th>0 At</th>
<th>-1500 At</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90°</td>
<td>207.08</td>
<td>187.33</td>
<td>124.99</td>
<td>90.93</td>
<td>63.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93.75°</td>
<td>219.49</td>
<td>195.99</td>
<td>131.93</td>
<td>101.28</td>
<td>79.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97.5°</td>
<td>231.49</td>
<td>203.33</td>
<td>139.71</td>
<td>110.65</td>
<td>90.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101.25°</td>
<td>242.21</td>
<td>208.44</td>
<td>147.24</td>
<td>115.24</td>
<td>101.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105°</td>
<td>253.05</td>
<td>214.87</td>
<td>154.06</td>
<td>124.87</td>
<td>110.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108.75°</td>
<td>267.97</td>
<td>228.06</td>
<td>167.42</td>
<td>135.00</td>
<td>124.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>112.5°</td>
<td>276.78</td>
<td>235.03</td>
<td>170.49</td>
<td>147.33</td>
<td>132.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116.25°</td>
<td>283.06</td>
<td>239.24</td>
<td>177.2</td>
<td>154.78</td>
<td>141.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120°</td>
<td>282.99</td>
<td>245.04</td>
<td>181.56</td>
<td>162.04</td>
<td>150.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>123.75°</td>
<td>281.99</td>
<td>249.77</td>
<td>186.02</td>
<td>168.14</td>
<td>156.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127.5°</td>
<td>278.78</td>
<td>238.26</td>
<td>189.89</td>
<td>173.71</td>
<td>160.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131.25°</td>
<td>271.98</td>
<td>228.01</td>
<td>187.06</td>
<td>174.81</td>
<td>161.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135°</td>
<td>263.53</td>
<td>222.65</td>
<td>184.11</td>
<td>174.16</td>
<td>160.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4.20 Comparison of output torque under various excitation current values
4.5 Summary and Discussion

FEA simulations have been conducted for a newly designed PM machine that attaches excitation coils and excitation flux path to the target model which is described in Section 4.2. The results of the simulations clearly show the outstanding characteristic of the newly designed motor as compared to that of the pre-developed motor. The maximum torque increases 225.15 Nm to 283.06 Nm (25.7 % increase).

The simulations have also confirmed that the air-gap flux can be changed by the excitation current value. Under 3000 At, the average of the air-gap flux density is 0.4798 T, which is about 15.3 times of the value under -1500 At (0.0313 T).

The pure PM torque can be obtained from Table 4.2 at 90° phase angle, 207.08 Nm under 3000 At and 63.87 Nm under -1500 At. Since the PM torque is directly proportional to the air-gap flux*, the pure PM torque of -1500 At is too large considering its air-gap flux density. When -1500 At, the calculated torque value is about 30.8 % of the value in case of 3000 At, but the air-gap flux is only 6.5 %.

A comparison between the pre-developed motor and the final model with 0 At of excitation current reveals that there is a big difference in the air-gap flux density (0.1826 T for the pre-developed motor and 0.1347 T for 0 At) since the excitation flux paths can increase the total reluctance of the motor. Correspondingly, the calculated PM torque in both cases is clearly different (117.45 Nm for the pre-developed motor and 90.93 Nm for 0 At). However, the percentage of the pure PM torque is similar that of the air-gap flux density (77.4 % for torque and 73.8 % for flux density) because the air-gap flux and the

\[ T = K_r I, \text{ and } K_r \propto \Phi \]
flux linkage of the phase conductors come from only PMs when the excitation current is 0 At.

Therefore there must be other magnetic fields which cross the phase conductors without passing the air-gap. Table 4.3 indicates PM torque and air-gap flux density ratios of each excitation current to the excitation current of 0 At. When the excitation current is positive, the torque ratio is much less than the flux density ratio; and when negative, the torque ratio is greater than the flux density ratio. These results suggest that positive excitation current decrease the flux linkage crossing the phase conductors and negative excitation current increase that.

Comparing Figure 4.21 (positive excitation current, $I_{exc}=3000\text{At}$) with Figure 4.22 (no excitation current), the flux density in the stator teeth is not uniformly distributed along the axial direction. At N-position in Figure 4.21, the flux density is counter-balanced in the middle of the left part of stator teeth, and at S-position, the right part. As a consequence, the effective flux crossing the phase conductor will be reduced. With negative excitation current, the flux density vectors change their direction in the air-gap (Figure 4.23, $I_{exc}=-1500\text{At}$). That means the air-gap flux in the radial direction will be

Table 4.3 Comparing PM torque and air-gap flux density in each excitation current

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Excitation current</th>
<th>PM torque [Nm]</th>
<th>ratio</th>
<th>Average air-gap flux density[T]</th>
<th>ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3000 At</td>
<td>207.08</td>
<td>2.278</td>
<td>0.4798</td>
<td>3.562</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 At</td>
<td>187.33</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.4250</td>
<td>3.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 At</td>
<td>124.99</td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>0.2866</td>
<td>2.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 At</td>
<td>90.93</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1347</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1500 At</td>
<td>63.87</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td>0.0313</td>
<td>0.232</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.21 Flux density distributions on the axial cut-plane
\( I_{ph,\text{max}} = 200\text{A}, I_{exc} = 3000\text{At} \)
Figure 4.22 Flux density distributions on the axial cut-plane
($I_{ph,\text{max}} = 200\text{A}$, $I_{exc} = 0\text{At}$)
Figure 4.23 Flux density distributions on the axial cut-plane

\[ I_{ph,max} = 200A, \ L_{exc} = -1500At \]
much smaller than the actual effective flux linkage of phase conductors. To find out the exact relation between excitation current and effective crossing flux linkage, additional research works are needed.

For IPMSM, the speed is in inverse proportion to the PM torque or effective flux crossing the phase conductors [19]. Therefore, the new machine can increase its speed about 3.24 times of its base speed without control of the direct and quadrature axis current components.
CHAPTER 5

Conclusions and Future Works

5.1 Conclusions

Permanent magnet motors are desirable for applications that require high power density such as EV and HEV. However, they have critical disadvantages; the high price of high-energy permanent magnet and the complexity of the controller for field weakening if it is necessary to drive them at a constant power output above the base speed.

To solve these undesirable properties of IPMSM, a new type of IPMSM structure is developed and analyzed in this thesis. The new motor has excitation coils and additional magnetic paths for the excitation flux which can increase the air-gap flux as well as the output torque. Additionally, the new motor may have the performance that its air-gap flux (effective flux) can be controlled directly through the magnitude and direction of its DC excitation current. The permanent magnets used in the new motor are Ferrite which are much cheaper than high energy PM materials (Samarium Cobalt and Neodymium Iron Boron).

The FEA simulation works were shown, and the results presented that the excitation current can be used for controlling the output torque as well as air-gap flux. Maximum output torque is increased by about 25.7% compared with the simulation result of the motor without excitation coils and paths.
However, the discordance between PM torque and air-gap flux may be caused by the excitation current which reduces or increases the effective flux linkage of the phase conductors. Therefore, additional research must be conducted to find the exact relation between excitation current and effective winding flux linkage.

The new motor is designed for the purpose of the applications of EV or HEV. The maximum torque (283.06 Nm) shows the possibility of the application of vehicles from the point of view of output torque compared to the motor used for commercial HEV*. The commercial motor has the maximum torque of about 350 Nm with 3.5 inches axial stator and rotor length [20]. Considering the possibility of increasing torque with higher excitation current, this research suggests a new design concept of IPMSM which has similar or higher power density than the commercial motor. Moreover, the new motor makes use of Ferrite as its permanent magnet, not Rare Earth or Samarium Cobalt.

In the respects mentioned above, the new motor has several advantages. Firstly, the manufacturing cost will be significantly reduced by low price of magnets and short length of stator and rotor core. Secondly, the inertia of rotor will be reduced, and it will allow the motor to have a fast response to load variations. Lastly, the direct controllability of air-gap flux will allow the motor to be operated easily above its base speed. On the other hand, the existence of side air-gap is the main disadvantage of the new motor because it can make the axial vibration or unexpected torque ripple.

* Toyota’s Prius
5.2 Future Works

For the confirmation of this research works and better characteristics of the motor, following research works should be conducted.

- Improving the motor characteristics to have wider speed range by controlling DC excitation current.
- Clarification of the reasons for the discordance between PM torque and air-gap flux.
- Expectation of dynamic behavior including the effect of side air-gap.
- Analysis of the saturation effect on the rotor and the excitation flux paths, and then adjusting the geometric dimensions.
- The possibility of irreversible demagnetization of Ferrite magnet.
- Developing new control strategy including the excitation current variable.
- Thermal analysis of the motor including the excitation coils to get more reliable results.
- Cost analysis
- Building a prototype sample and testing to prove the results of this research and predict the machine behavior.
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Appendix B

Park’s transformation and Reference Coordinate systems

The Park’s transformation is used to transform three-phase systems into two-axis models, which defines the method of a transformation from the three-phase system to a two-axis model (d and q). For synchronous motors, especially with permanent magnets, a rotor-oriented coordinate system is more convenient. In the dq-system, the d-axis is along the magnetization of the rotor while the q-axis lies electrically perpendicular ahead in the direction of positive rotation.

Figure B.1 shows the structure of the three-phase IPMSM with the reference angles. The a-, b-, and c-axis are fixed on the stator. d- and q-axis are fixed on the rotor, which define the synchronous reference frame. Any set of three-phase quantities, e.g. the flux linkages $\lambda_a$, $\lambda_b$, and $\lambda_c$ can be transformed in the synchronous reference frame using Park’s transformation method as the following equations:

$$
\lambda_d = \frac{2}{3} \left[ \lambda_a \cos(p \vartheta_m) + \lambda_b \cos\left(p \vartheta_m - \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + \lambda_c \cos\left(p \vartheta_m - \frac{4\pi}{3}\right) \right] \tag{B.1}
$$

$$
\lambda_q = -\frac{2}{3} \left[ \lambda_a \sin(p \vartheta_m) + \lambda_b \sin\left(p \vartheta_m - \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) + \lambda_c \sin\left(p \vartheta_m - \frac{4\pi}{3}\right) \right] \tag{B.2}
$$

where $\vartheta_m$ is the mechanical angle between the reference a-phase axis and the d-axis, and $p$ is the number of pole-pair.
The inverse transformation is then;

\[
\hat{\lambda}_a = \left[ \lambda_d \sin(p \hat{\vartheta}_m) + \lambda_q \cos(p \hat{\vartheta}_m) \right] \tag{B.3}
\]

\[
\hat{\lambda}_q = \left[ \lambda_d \sin(p \hat{\vartheta}_m - \frac{2\pi}{3}) + \lambda_q \cos(p \hat{\vartheta}_m - \frac{2\pi}{3}) \right] \tag{B.4}
\]

\[
\hat{\lambda}_c = \left[ \lambda_d \sin(p \hat{\vartheta}_m - \frac{4\pi}{3}) + \lambda_q \cos(p \hat{\vartheta}_m - \frac{4\pi}{3}) \right] \tag{B.5}
\]

Figure B.1 A quarter IPMSM model for references of the three-phase
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